Section Detail

Class: CHEM 0410A - PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY

Instructor: COOKSY,ANDREW L

Schedule Number:

20769, Fall 2015

Instrument: Survey_id 43345 College of Science - Lab

Date Printed: 04/27/2017 06:15:16

Enrolled in class: 18

Responded to this evaluation: 11 Response Percentage: 61.11%

Quantitative

Question #	Question Prompt	Count	Percentage	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
1	Has enhanced your knowledge and understanding of the subject matter.	11	100%	4.55	.52	5.00
	Outstanding	6	54.55%			
	Good	5	45.45%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
2	Syllabus provided clear learning objectives, expectations, and grading criteria.	11	100%	4.55	.52	5.00
	Outstanding	6	54.55%			
	Good	5	45.45%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
3	Activities contributed to the learning process.	11	100%	4.64	.50	5.00
	Outstanding	7	63.64%			

	Good	4	36.36%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
4	Time was organized and used effectively.	11	100%	4.55	.52	5.00
	Outstanding	6	54.55%			
	Good	5	45.45%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
5	Safety policies were emphasized.	9	82%	4.78	.44	5.00
	Outstanding	7	63.64%			
	Good	2	18.18%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	2	18.18%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	2	18.18%			
6	Overall rating of this laboratory.	11	100%	4.55	.52	5.00
	Outstanding	6	54.55%			
	Good	5	45.45%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
7	Grade you anticipate receiving for this laboratory.	11	100%	4.27	1.10	5.00
	A	7	63.64%			
	В	1	9.09%			
	C - (Credit)	2	18.18%			
	D	1	9.09%			
	F - (No Credit)	0	.00%			

	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
8	Presented material in a clear and logical manner.	11	100%	4.55	.52	5.00
	Outstanding	6	54.55%			
	Good	5	45.45%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
9	Had command of the English language.	11	100%	4.64	.50	5.00
	Outstanding	7	63.64%			
	Good	4	36.36%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
10	Was responsive and helpful.	11	100%	4.55	.69	5.00
	Outstanding	7	63.64%			
	Good	3	27.27%			
	Satisfactory	1	9.09%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
11	Demonstrated mastery of the subject matter.	11	100%	4.64	.50	5.00
	Outstanding	7	63.64%			
	Good	4	36.36%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
12	Stimulated your interest in the subject, recognizing that you	11	100%	4.27	.65	4.00

	may not plan to take additional courses in this area.					
	Outstanding	4	36.36%			
	Good	6	54.55%			
	Satisfactory	1	9.09%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
13	Used instructional technology effectively.	11	100%	4.73	.47	5.00
	Outstanding	8	72.73%			
	Good	3	27.27%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
14	Responded to diverse student learning styles and needs.	10	91%	4.50	.53	4.50
	Outstanding	5	45.45%			
	Good	5	45.45%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	9.09%			
15	Overall rating of this instructor.	11	100%	4.55	.52	5.00
	Outstanding	6	54.55%			
	Good	5	45.45%			
	Satisfactory	0	.00%			
	Fair	0	.00%			
	Poor	0	.00%			
	No Opinion	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
Overall Total	Mean St. Dev. Median					

4 of 5 04/27/2017 06:16 AM

4.55.585.00

Qualitative

16 We value any additional comments.

11 student(s) did not respond to this question.